



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/644,270	08/19/2003	Remy Zimmermann	09623V-045300US	5170

20350 7590 12/06/2007
TOWNSEND AND TOWNSEND AND CREW, LLP
TWO EMBARCADERO CENTER
EIGHTH FLOOR
SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94111-3834

EXAMINER

SURVILLO, OLEG

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2142

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

12/06/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/644,270

Applicant(s)

ZIMMERMANN ET AL.

Examiner

Oleg Survillo

Art Unit

2142

All Participants:**Status of Application:** Response AF(1) Oleg Survillo, Examiner, AU 2142.

(3) _____

(2) Paul C. Haughey, Reg. No.: 31,836

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 5 December 2007**Time:** 11:20am EST**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

112, second paragraph

Claims discussed:

1, 11

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



ANDREW CALDWELL

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was discussed if proposed amendment to independent claims 1 and 11 would overcome 112, second paragraph rejection made in the last Office action. It was agreed on that proposed amendment to claims 1 and 11 would be sufficient to overcome 112, 2nd paragraph rejection. However, claims 1 and 11, as proposed, would require further consideration and search.

It was also discussed whether newly added limitations to claims 1 and 11 correspond in scope. It was agreed on that claim 1 will be amended to have newly added limitation correspond to that of claim 11.